

052

SCSL-2003-09-PF-052
(977-98)

977

SPECIAL COURT FOR SIERRA LEONE
OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTOR
FREETOWN - SIERRA LEONE

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before: Judge Bankole Thompson, Presiding Judge
Judge Itoe
Judge Boutet

Registrar: Mr. Robin Vincent

Date filed: 23 June 2003

THE PROSECUTOR

Against

MORRIS KALLON

CASE NO. SCSL - 2003 - 07- PD



**PROSECUTION RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO REPLY TO PROSECUTION RESPONSE TO PRELIMINARY MOTIONS**

Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Luc Côté, Chief of Prosecutions

Defence Office:

Mr. James Oury
Mr. Steven Powles



THE PROSECUTOR**Against****MORRIS KALLON**

CASE NO. SCSL – 2003 – 07– PD

**PROSECUTION RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO REPLY TO PROSECUTION RESPONSE TO PRELIMINARY MOTIONS**

I INTRODUCTION

1. On 19 June 2003 the Defence Counsel filed an *“Application for extension of time to file reply to prosecution response to preliminary motions”* (the *“Application”*).
2. The Defence Counsel filed two preliminary motions pursuant to Rule 72 of the Rules on the 16 June 2003. These two Motions were served electronically on the Prosecution on the 17 June 2003 at 10:05 AM. (See Annex A).
3. Pursuant to Rule 7(c) of the Rules, the Prosecution has 7 days to file its response to both preliminary motions, which means at the latest on the 24 June 2003, contrary to what is said in paragraph 2 of the Application of the Defence which stipulates the 23 June 2003.
4. However, the Prosecution filed its two responses on the 23 June 2003.
5. Depending on the capacity of the Court Management to serve these responses to the Defence on the same day they will be filed, the 23 June 2003, or the next day,

24 June 2003, the Defence will have to file his Reply within 3 days, on the 26 or 27 June 2003.


979

6. It is unclear in the Defence Application if both Counsel will be away from the UK until the 25 June 2003. If this is the case, then both Defence Counsel will have less than 3 full days to reply to the Prosecution Response. Therefore, in that case, their application should be considered by the Chamber.

7. Anxious as the Prosecution is to ensure that matters proceed with the utmost expedition, we leave it to the discretion of the court for the matter to be considered on their merits.

Freetown, 23 June 2003

For the Prosecution,



Luc Côté
Chief of Prosecutions

ANNEX 'A'



SCSL-Records
2003-06-17 10:05

To: Gerald Stevens/SCSL@SCSL, Matteo Crippa/SCSL@SCSL, Simon Meisenberg/SCSL@SCSL, Mariana Goetz/SCSL@SCSL, John Jones/SCSL@SCSL, Amira Alghali/SCSL@SCSL, Luc Cote/SCSL@SCSL, Robin Vincent/SCSL@SCSL, Saleem Vahidy/SCSL@SCSL, Robert Kirkwood/SCSL@SCSL, Haddijatou Kah-Jallow/SCSL@SCSL, Musu D Kamara/SCSL@SCSL, Giorgia Tortora/SCSL@SCSL, David Hecht/SCSL@SCSL
cc: Joseph Massaquoi/SCSL@SCSL
Subject: SCSL-03-07-PT, 4D-042, 5D-043, 6D-044: Electronic Service Kallon Case

99

Please find attached the following documents filed yesterday, Monday 16 June 2003, in the Kallon Case, No. SCSL-03-07-PT:

📄 SCSL-03-07-PT-4D-042- KALLON - PRELIMINARY MOTION BASED ON LACK OF JURISDICTION / ABUSE OF PROCESS : AMNESTY PROVIDED BY LOME ACCORD - 16 JUNE 2003

📄 SCSL-03-07-PT-5D-043 - KALLON - PRELIMINARY MOTION BASED ON LACK OF JURISDICTION: ESTABLISHMENT OF SPECIAL COURT VIOLATES CONSTITUTION OF SIERRA LEONE - 16 JUNE 2003

📄 SCSL-03-07-PT-6D-044- KALLON - FURTHER APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PRELIMINARY MOTIONS - 16 JUNE 2003

Court Records
Court Management
Registry